

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,937	09/02/2003	Janice Lorraine Stiles	. 8772	
7590 10/06/2004			EXAMINER	
JANICE L. STILES, PRES/CEO			RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM	
JLS COMPUTER SERVICES, INC 8204 PENELOPE LANE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LIVERPOOL,	NY 13090		3629	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/652,937	STILES, JANICE LORRAINE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dennis Ruhl	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be availafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified a If NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION. Itable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply d above, the maximum statutory period w extended period for reply will, by statute, a later than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) datill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON date of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			·				
1) Responsive to cor	nmunication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .					
2a) This action is FINA	AL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is	s objected to by the Examine	·.	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)			,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
· <u> </u>	ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/652,937

Art Unit: 3629

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1,2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For claim 1, it is not clear if this is a method claim or an article claim. The claim begins with "In a system" but the preamble also recites "a computerized method for". Is this a method or article claim? If it is a method claim, what is the actual method claimed? It is never really recited what steps make up the claimed method. Saying that the method is for doing A and doing B is not defining anything about the actual method, other than what the purpose of the method is. This claim is indefinite and correction is required.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abecassis (5291395).

For claims 1-4, Abecassis discloses a system as claimed that is capable of performing a method of searching for a desired wallpaper type. Abecassis discloses that once the computer identifies a wallpaper the customer is interested in, the customer

Art Unit: 3629

may desire to take the sample (wallpaper book) home for comparison purposes. Not disclosed is that the computer also signs in and signs out books, has pricing information, has the ability to update or add new books, providing marketing information, and backing up of databases. With respect to the signing in and out of books, Abecassis recognizes that a customer may desire to take a book home to see how the pattern looks in the home. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the computer sign in and sign out the books, so that an orderly and efficient tracking system for the books can be maintained. With respect to including pricing information, it would have been obvious to include the pricing information so that a customer will known whether or not a certain wallpaper is within their budget. A person on a budget does not want or need to spend time looking at wallpaper they cannot afford. With respect to updating the computer with new books (step d), it would have been obvious to allow the computer to be updated with new styles of wallpaper so the business can offer the widest selection possible. With respect to providing marketing information on printouts, it is considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to keep track of what kinds of wallpaper you sell so you can reorder if needed. This is considered to be marketing information. Being able to printout current inventory is desirable so you know what you need to order. Hot selling styles should be in stock, not on backorder. With respect to the backing up of databases, this is just common sense in the age of computers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Application/Control Number: 10/652,937 Page 4

Art Unit: 3629

to have the computer create backups of the databases so that if some databases are lost by accident (accidentally erased) you would have a backup copy available for use.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Menner et al. (20020184232), Gordon (20020099725), and Whiteis (5749081) disclose computer systems that deal with wall coverings or a system that recommends items to a user.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Ruhl whose telephone number is 703-308-2262. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 703-308-2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DENNIS RUHL PRIMARY EXAMINER